GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Health (1951) Department.

~~Endorsement~~
~~D.O.~~
Memorandum
~~Lodged~~

Memo No. 14409/51-4-92, Health

Dated 7-7-51 19_

FURTHER PAPERS.

Department.	Number.	Series.	Date.
Medical and treatment to the Public	Poisoning - Badagara panchayat - Area - Contribution - Requested		

From

Kunni Chathu Kurup
Vishu Vydian
Mut Street
Badagara

W762

To

The Secretary,
Department Of Health,
Govt. Of Madras,
Fort St. George, Madras.

40895

23 NOV 1950
MADRAS-9

DEPUTY SECRETARY
12 NOV 1950
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

Sir,

Sub:- Medical aid- Poisonings- Badagara Panchyat area- Treatment to the public- Contribution- Requested.

I would like to bring the foldowing for your kind consideration and early orders.

I am running a vishu vydia sala (treatment for poisons) in the panchayat area and have eighteen years experience in this line

The panchayat board sanctioned a sum of rupees hundred for the institution, in view of the fact that expensive medicine are used and treatment is free. But the Collector with- hold the grant

Todate I have treated over two thousand patients this year alone in this panchayat area. This includes many snake poisonings also. My accounts kept regularly, have been checked by the Excutive-officer of the panchayat board.

Since expensive medicines have to be stocked. I shall be greatful, if you will sanction the institution a grant and also afford recognition to the institution.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

Kunhi Chathu Kurup

Badagara.

Date. 17-11-'50

Health Department

Endt. No 40895 D/50-1, Health, dated 21.11.50.

Dp 21/11

Returned for Resubmission through the Director of Indigenous Medicine, Madras, Madras.

Deputy Secretary to Govt.

20.11.50. 20/11/50

Report Channel

want the application for test expiry with report
Asst 23/11/50

APPENDIX A.

Form of application for grant to private Institutions of Indian

Siva Vilasam Visha Vaidyasala,

l from public funds or partly private and partly aided by the public.

3. (*a*) Name and full address of the hospital / dispensary proprietor, or, if it is public, the constitution of the board of management provided for it.

(*b*) Whether it is an institution registered under the Societies Registration Act and whether it is recognised by the Central Board of Indian Medicine.

4. The staff employed in the hospital / dispensary with their respective qualifications and their scales of pay, if any, and the pay drawn by each in the scales of pay.

5. Provision made for the preparation, custody and distribution of medicines.

6. The assets and liabilities of the institution and the annual receipts and expenditure.

7. The average in [and out-patients attendance per diem during the last three years. Total number of patients treated free during the present year and the preceding two years showing separately males, females and children for each year.

8. Whether the accounts, registers of attendance of patients

9. (*a*) The income and expenditure of the institution for the preceding year showing particularly the portion spent on charitable medical aid.

(*b*) Whether the accounts of the institution are audited and if so, by whom.

(*c*) Whether the institution gives free treatment and in cases in which it is giving treatment on payment, the conditions on which such treatment is given.

(*d*) Whether the institution is maintaining proper registers in respect of receipts and expenditure.

10. (*a*) Whether any inspections have been made during the preceding year; if so, by whom, and with what remarks?

(*b*) Whether the institution will be prepared to agree to supervision by the authorities appointed by Government.

visha Vaidyam for the last ——— 18 years. - Ayurvedic.

(b) Rs.250/- which will be just one half of the total annual expenditure for the maintenance of the institution.

(c) For free treatment of/poison cases of including snake bites.

2. This institution was being managed by the applicant, who is the proprie with his own money without having an outside help till April 1950. But during April and May 1950 the Badaga Co-operative Stores and Badagara P.C Society have donated Rs.75/- and Rs. respectively, for the maintenance of the institution.

3(a) Sri. P. Kunhi Gbath, Kunga, Visha Malabar.

(b) No.

4. Generally one person is employed on part-time labour basis on daily wages and he will be paid daily wages on t days on which he attends the institu for the preparation of pills and oth medicines. The proprietor is himself attending on patients and the averag monthly amount required for payment the above employee will come to Rs.1

5. All the required medicines are prep by the Proprietor at his own cost wi the help of the employee, mentioned against item 4, and preserved in a b ing rented by the Proprietor for free distribution to the patients.

14

11. (a) The area served by the institution and whether there are any dispensaries in the vicinity (five miles radius)

(b) If so, the system of medicine followed there and the distance of the same from the applicant's institution.

12. Other grounds on which the aid is claimed.

Declaration.—On behalf of the management of the institution, I hereby declare that I am prepared to abide by the conditions which will be laid down by the Government and to subject the institution together with its current endowment and accounts, its establishment and the registers, etc. to inspection and to furnish such returns as may be required by the Government or the Head of the Department of Indian Medicine.

Station

Date. Signature of the applicant.

APPENDIX B.

Form of application for grant to private institutions imparting Education in Indian Medicine.

1. (a) Name and address of the institution and the date on which it was established.

(b) Amount of grant required.

(c) Purpose for which the grant is required.

2. Society, Association or person owning the institution.

3. Whether it is an institution registered under the Societies Registration Act.

4. Whether it has been registered by the Central Board of Indian Medicine.

5. The strength of each class (Number of students)

6. Names of teachers employed with their qualifications, the subjects taught by each and their monthly salaries.

7. Description of endowments with yearly income from each.

8. Amount which the management proposes to spend yearly on the institution.

9. The assets and liabilities of the institution and the annual receipts and expenditure.

10. Whether the accounts of the institution are audited and if so, by whom?

11. Whether the institution will be prepared to agree to supervision by the authorities appointed by Government.

12. Whether the institution gives free education and in cases in which it is collecting tuition fees, the rate.

13. Whether the institution is maintaining proper registers in respect of receipts and expenditure.

14. Other grounds on which the aid is claimed.

Declaration.—On behalf of the management of the institution I hereby declare that I am prepared to abide by the conditions which will be laid down by the Government and to subject the institution together with its current endowment and accounts, its establishment, time-table and registers to inspection and to furnish such returns, as may be required by the Government or the Head of the Department of Indian Medicine.

Station. Badagara Kuth: Chathu Kump.

Date. 22.3.57 Signature of the applicant.

6. No assets and no liabilities as the proprietor is meeting all expenditure in connection with the maintenance of the institution from his own pocket.

7. Average annual in and out patients during the last three years

	Males	Females	Children	
From Aug.48 to Decr. 1949	80	40	14	All these patients were treated free.
1950 up to Novr.	149	93	38	
	114	87	51	

8. Yes.

9(a) No income is derived from any source during the year 1949 as the patients are given free treatment in all cases. The total costs of medicines and the other expenses incurred by the Proprietor for the maintenance of the institution during the year 1949 will come to Rs.420

9(b) No, but the registers are sometimes checked by the Executive Officer of the Badagara Panchayat Board whenever he visits the institution.

9(c) All treatments are given free and no special conditions are imposed for the treatments. No treatment on payment by patients.

9(d) Yes.

10(a) The institution was not visited by any responsible persons during 1949, but the Executive Officer, Badagara Panchayat Board, visited the institution in 1948 and has remarked: "It is found that daily cases are coming for poisonous treatment. This is an institution which requires encouragement. I wish every success to this institution."

10(b) Yes.

11 (a) Patients from anywhere are allowed treatment in the institution, but generally patients from Kurumbranad taluk are brought for treatment. There are no dispensaries within a radius of 5 miles except the L.F.Hospital Badagara.

11(b) English treatment is given in the above Hospital and the distance from there to the applicant's ~~but there are no dispensaries to~~ poisonous treatment within a radius of 5 ½ miles.

12. At present the proprietor is maintaining the institution as a charitable one by spending from his own pocket and ~~from~~ due to the increase in the number of patients now-a-days the applicant finds it difficult to maintain it properly without help from outside. The demand for medicine etc. is on the increase and hence the request for the grant of Government aid.

13. [redacted]

14. .....

Particulars of the poisoning cases treated by the Proprietor, Siva Vilasam Visha Vaidyasala, Nut street, Badagara, Kurumbranad Taluk, Malabar District submitted as per Memo No.12553/C1/50 dated 26-2-51.

Snake Poisons variety of snake e.g. Cobra, Viper etc.	Other poisons - sort of poison.	Under each group --Number treated.		
		Cured	dead	otherwise discharged
1949 Cobra .. 15	Spider. .. 150			
Viper .. 22	Scorpion.. 75	280	..	..
Other snakes. 13	Other varieties.. 5			
1950 Upto November. Cobra. ..11	Spider ...127		cobra poison 1	
Viper.34	Scorpion... 42	251		..
Other snakes.. 25	Other varieties. 12			

Kunhiraman Kutty Kurup.

Badagara,
22-3-51.

Proprietor,
Siva Vilasam Visha Vydia Sala

Desires enlargement

26/3/51

1

From
Dr.M.R.Guruswami Mudaliar, B.A., M.D.,
Hony. Director of Indigenous Medicine,
M a d r a s - 10.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras - 9.

14409

-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-

R.C.No. 12553-C1/51. Dated: 30th March, 1951.



Sir,

Sub: CONTRIBUTION - Badagara Panchayat Board - Payment to Visha Vaidya Sala -

---

In retransmitting herewith the Government Endt. No. 40895-I/50-1, Health, dated 21/11/1950, along with the application for grant and detailed statement of cases treated, I am to state that this Visha Vaidya deserves encouragement.

It is recommended that he may be given a grant of Rs. 250/- (Rupees two hundred and fifty only) for the year 1950-51 though the accounts have not been audited. The question of payment of grant for succeeding years may be considered after watching his work for each year.

Yours faithfully,

M R Guruswami 30/3/51

Honorary Director.

vrn.
Encl: 3.

G 30/3/51.

DIC 31/3

C.No 14409/51.

Health (D) Dept. 9

Subs:- Grants - Maintenance - Private Medical Institutions — Siva Vilasam Visha Vaidyasala, Badagara; Kurumbranad Taluk, Malabar Dt.

13/17/4

PPI-5 Cf

Ref:- From Sri P. Kunhichattu Kurup, Badagara, letter dated 17-11-50.

P7 Cf

From the H.D.I.M, letter No. Re. 12553-C1/51, Dated 30.3.51

Dy 16/4

Memo. No. 14409 D/51-1, Health, Dated 16.4.51

The H.D.I.M is requested to state whether the medicines prepared and used by Sri P. Kunhichattu Kurup have been found to be efficacious and if so to report about their efficacy.

2 He is also requested to ascertain and report the circumstances under which the Contribution of a Sum of Rs 100/- sanctioned by the Badagara Panchayat Board

(P.T.O.)

10
6 The Visha Vaidyasala was withdrawn by the the Collector of Malabar.

12/4/51

12/4/51

NR
15.4.51

16/4
The 17.4.51
Madras-10.

From
Dr.M.R.Guruswami Mudaliar, B.A.,M.D.,
Hony.Director of Indigenous Medicine,
Kilpauk, Madras.10

To
The Secretary to Government
Health Department,
Fort.St.George, Madras.9.

R.C1No.12553-C1/50 Dated 25-4-51.

Sir,



Sub: GRANTS-Maintenance- Private Medical Institutions- Siva vilasam Visha Vaidya sala, Badagara- Malabar District.

Ref: Government Memo.No.14409 I/51-1, Health Dated 16-4-51.

1 As there is no facility for testing the medicines prepared and used by Sri.P.Kunhichattu Kurup in our research Department I have to take the results obtained from him as valid.

2 The Government declined to sanction the contribution of a sum of Rs. 100/- proposed by the Badagara Panchayat Board to the Visha Vaidya Sala, perhaps because the Special Officer for the Reorganisation of the Department of Indigenous Medicine did not recommend it on the ground that the physician in charge of the Vaidyasala is not a practitioner of Indigenous Medicine registered by the Central Board of Indigenous Medicine.

3 His being not registered under the Central Board of Indigenous Medicine does not count as the results obtained are proof of the efficiency of his preparations. He deserves encouragement.

4 He is recommended a grant of Rs.250/- this year. He may be asked to keep the accounts properly and to have them audited.

Yours faithfully,
M.R.Guruswami
Hony.Director.
25/4/51

k.k.

G
25/4/51

C. No. 14409/51

Health (D) Dept. 12.

Subs:- Grants - Maintenance - Private Medical Institutions - Siva Vilasam Vaidyasala, Bodagara, Kurumbranad taluk, Malabar Dt.

Ref:- From the H.D.I.M, letter No. Rc. 12553-C1/51, Dt 25-4-51

Memo No. 14409 D/51-2. Health, dated 18-5-51

On the letter cited above, the H.D.I.M has stated that the Govt. declines to sanction the Contribution of ~~a Sum of~~ Rs 100/- ~~proposed~~ by the Bodagara Panchayat Board to the Arisha vaidyasala. He is requested to refer to the No and date of the Order in which Govt. declined to sanction the above Contribution to the Bodagara Panchayat Bd.

16/5/51

16/5/51

WR 17.5.51

21/5 5/21.5

P II C/f

Dp 18/5

Para 2 of P II C/f

pertaining a

To The H.D.I.M Kozhikode-10

15

From
Dr.M.R.Gurusswami Mudaliar, B.A., M.D.,
Hony. Director of Indigenous Medicine,
M a d r a s - 10.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras - 9.

-x-x-x

4.

Copy of G.O.Rt.No.1217, L.A., Dated 19-7-1949.

O r d e r:



The proposal of the Badagara Panchayat Board to contribute a sum of Rs. 100 to the Sivavilasa Visha Vaidyasala at Badagara will not be approved.

/ True copy /

M.P.Gunnowani 28/5/51

vrn.

Honorary Director. 2/2.

G/28/5/51. 21

MIC
29/5

C No. 14409/51

Health Dept.(I.2).

Sub:-Grants - Private Medical Institutions - Siva Vilasam Visha Vaidyasala, Badagara, Kurumbranad Taluk, Malabar Dt. - Not Sanctioned.

....

Ref:-From Sri P. Kunhi Chathu Kurup, Visha Vydian, Nut Street, Badagara dated 17-11-50.
From the H.D.I.M. letters Rc.No.12553-C1/51 Dt30-3-51 & Rc.No.12553-C1/50 dated 25-4-51.

Memo No. 14409.9/51-4 Dated 7/7/51

Sri P. Kunhi Chathu Kurup is informed that ~~his~~ request for a grant to Siva Vilasam Visha Vaidyasala, Badagara ~~contained in his letter read above~~ cannot be complied with.

To Shi P. Kunhi Chathu Kurup,
Visha Vydian, Nut Street,
BADAGARA.
(Malabar Dt).

Copy to the H.D.I.M.

C.No. 14409/51

Health (I) Deptt.

SUB: Grants - Maintenance - Private Medical [illegible] Taluk, Malabar Dist. Request.

REF: From Sri P. Kunhi Chathu Kurup, Badagara, letter dated 17.11.50.
From the H.D.I.M., letter No.Rc.12553-C1/51. dt. 30.3.51 and 25.4.51 and 28.5.51.

In December 1948 the Ex. Officer, Badagara Panchayat Board addressed the Inspr. of Ml.Cls. and Local Boards for giving a contribution of Rs.100 to the Siva Vilasam, Vaidyasala, Badagara in the panchayat area. The Spl. Officer for the reorganisation of the department of I.M. did not recommend the payment of contribution by the panchayat board as the ~~Fikation~~ Physician employed in the Siva Vilasam Vaidyasala is not one that has been registered in the Registrar of Practitioners of I.M. The Govt. in their order No.1217 (Rt.) L.A. dated 19.7.49 declined to approve the proposal of the panchayat board to contribute a sum of Rs.100 to the Siva Vilasam, Vaidyasala, Badagara.

2. The proprietor of the ~~Siva~~ Vilasam, Vaidyasala Badagara has now addressed the Govt. for sanction of a grant to the institution and recognition of the institution. Statistics of poisoning cases treated at the ~~Vilasam~~ Vaidyasala are on page 5 c.f. The H.D. recommends ~~the~~ grant of Rs.250/- this year. The H.D.I.M. is of opinion that ~~the Vilasam~~ Vaidyasala deserves encouragement. ~~and recommends a grant of Rs.250/- this year.~~ As there is no facility in the Research department of the College of I.M. for testing the medicines prepared and used in the ~~Vilasam~~ Vaidyasala, the results of treatment have to be taken as a criterion. He is also of opinion that the fact of the ~~Vilasam~~ Physician of the Vaidyasala being one who has not registered in the Registrar of Practitioners

C.No. 14409/51

Health (I) Deptt.

SUB:- Grants - Maintenance - Private Medical ~~Practitioner~~, Taluk, Malabar Dist. - Request.

REF: From Sri P. Kunhi Chathu Kurup, Badagara, letter dated 17.11.50.
From the H.D.I.M., letter No.Rc.12553-C1/51. dt. 30.3.51 and 25.4.51 and 28.5.51.

In December 1948 the Ex. Officer, Badagara Panchayat Board addressed the Inspr. of Ml.Cls. and Local Boards for giving a contribution of Rs.100 to the Siva Vilasam, Vaidyasala, Badagara, in the panchayat area. The Spl. Officer for the reorganisation of the department of I.M. did not recommend the payment of contribution by the panchayat board as the ~~fikation~~ Physician employed in the Siva Vilasam Vaidyasala is not one that has been registered in the Registrar of Practitioners of I.M. The Govt. in their order No.1217 (Rt.) L.A. dated 19.7.49 declined to approve the proposal of the panchayat board to contribute a sum of Rs.100 to the Siva Vilasam, Vaidyasala, Badagara.

2. The proprietor of the Siva Vilasam, Vaidyasala, Badagara has now addressed the Govt. for sanction of a grant to the institution and recognition of the institut: -Statistics of poisoning cases treated at the ~~Vilasam~~ Vaidyasala are on page 5 c.f. The H.D. recommends a grant of Rs.250/- for the year under review. The H.D.I.M. of opinion that ~~Vilasam~~ Vaidyasala deserves encouragement, ~~and recommends a grant of Rs.250/- this year~~. As there is no facility in the Research department of the College of I.M. for testing the medicines prepared and used in the ~~Vilasam~~ Vaidyasala. The results of treatment have to be taken as a criterion. He is also of opinion that the fact of the ~~Vilasam~~ Physician of the Vaidyasala being one who has not registered himself in the Registrar of Practitioners

E H

of Indigenous Medicine is not material to the point at issue, as the results obtained by him are proof of the efficacy para 3 of his preparation. He also suggests that the Vaidyasala may be asked to keep such accounts as to have it audited in future.

3. In G.O.No.674 P.H. dated 13-3-37, Govt. ordered that Local Boards should not newly recognise unregistered private practitioners of Indian Medicine or private dispensaries in charge of such practitioners and make contributions to them. But in view of the facts stated by the H.D.I.M., this consideration may be waived in general and this case in particular. in the case of ~~this~~ a Visha Vaidyasala. The grant of Rs.250/- recommended by the H.D.I.M. may be sanctioned for the current year, subject to the usual conditions, ~~and~~ the question of continuance of the grant will depend upon the audited accounts of the Visha Vaidyasala that may be available at that time.

4. Finance may see for remarks.

8/6/51

12.6.51

Finance. 14/6

G.O. No. 1440 9/51-3, Health Dated 13-6-51

Above

SENIOR ASSISTANT SECRETARY, FINANCE DEPARTMENT 44503 14 JUN 1951 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Health (EA)

Please see the notes of Health on pages 1-2 above. The Health Dept. ~~This~~ purpose to sanction a grant of Rs 250 in 1951-52 to the Proprietor of the Visha Vaidya-Sala at Badagar Malabar and to consider the question of continuance of the grant with ref. to the audited accounts of the Vaidyasala.

2. The ~~para~~ request of the Panchayat Board for Govt.'s sanction to

A Pl and pp 11-12 ✓ (U)

to pay a grant of Rs 100 to the Vaidyasala was turned down for the reason that the physician employed was not a registered practitioner. The proposal is against the accepted principle that no grant should be paid for unregistered private practitioners. There will be a number of vaidyasalas of this and other types, dealing with ayurvedic medicines, etc especially in Malabar. If the Govt recognise the principle of financial assistance to these private Vaidyasalas, the number of applications for grant will be large. Finance may therefore, advise against the proposal of Health.

Cf. X preceding

B 21/5/51

(It may also be mentioned that the Cabinet has recently decided that no new scheme for which no provision was specifically made in the Budget for 1951-52 should be considered for sanction in the current year).

Ca 22/5/51 Dy 22/5/51

The proposal to recognise for the purpose of Govt. grants Visha Vaidyasala in general and the one at Badagara in particular may be negatived for the reasons stated at A above.

Dy 21/5/51 S

Minister 21/5/51 Health

Health Dept.(I.2).

Summarising Note:

The proposal in this file relates to the sanction of a grant of Rs.250/- to Siva Vilasam Visha Vaidyasala, Badagara during the year 1951-52. In G.O.Rt.No.1217 L.A. dated 19-7-49 the Govt: did not approve the proposal of the Badagara Pt. Bd.

Dis.No 44503 SA/51-1
22/5/51

DEPUTY SECRETARY 26 MAY 1951 32250 HEALTH DEPARTMENT

VS 26/5

pp 11-12 ✓ (U)

to pay a contribution of Rs.100/- to this Vaidyasala on account of the fact that the physician in charge of the institution is not a registered practitioner. The H.D.I.M. has now recommended the payment of a grant of Rs.250/- from the State Funds ~~as he considered~~ as ~~that~~ it deserves encouragement. He ~~was~~ is also of the opinion that the fact that the physician ~~being~~ is not registered under the C.B.I.M. ~~need~~ did not count ~~for~~ as the results obtained ~~were~~ are proof of the ~~efficacy~~ officacy of his preparations. This Dept. supported the recommendation of the H.D.I.M. and proposed to sanction a grant of Rs.250/- to the Vaidyasala as a special case. Fin. have objected to the proposal on the ground that the proposal is against the accepted principle that no grant should be paid for unregistered private practitioners. In the circumstances stated by Fin. at 'A' on page 3 ante, this Dept. need not press the proposal. The request of the Vaidyasala may be negatived. Subject to orders a draft memo is submitted for approval. May be circulated to H.M.(H) for approval.

4/7/51

5.7.51

7/7/51
H.M.(H)

SECRETARY
7 JUL 1951
HEALTH DEPARTMENT